

Understanding the Significance of Forensic Linguistics and Its Application in Legal Context

Javed Iqbal¹, Waqar Ahmad² and Dr. Muhammed Abdullah³

Abstract

Forensic linguistics studies the language used in legal settings, including courtroom discourse, translation and interpretation, and the intelligibility of legal texts and documents. This study undertakes a library-based investigation into the significance and application of forensic linguistics within legal contexts. Drawing upon secondary sources, it explores the evolving interdisciplinary field that bridges language and the legal system. This research identifies key areas of forensic linguistic application, including the interpretation of legal texts, authorship attribution, and the analysis of courtroom discourse and linguistic evidence. Furthermore, it examines the perceived value and limitations of forensic linguistic expertise from the perspectives of both legal professionals and linguistic scholars. Finally, this study considers the contribution of the interdisciplinary nature of forensic linguistics, encompassing various linguistic branches and related fields, to a more comprehensive understanding and resolution of language-related legal issues. The findings aim to enhance awareness of the crucial role of forensic linguistics in ensuring justice and clarity within the legal framework, highlighting areas for future research and inter-professional collaboration.

Keywords: Forensic linguistics, language, legal context, language and law, legal interpretation

Introduction

Linguistics is broadly divided into micro-linguistics, which examines the internal structures of language, and macro-linguistics, which studies language in its external contexts. Micro-linguistics focuses on core elements like phonetics/phonology, morphology, syntax, and semantics, highlighting their interconnectedness. Macro-linguistics explores the relationship between language

¹⁻²⁻³Department of English Linguistics & Literature, Riphah International University, Islamabad – Pakistan

and its environment, encompassing various applied fields, including the burgeoning multidisciplinary area of forensic linguistics. This field specifically investigates the intersection of language and law, applying linguistic principles to criminal and civil investigations. Forensic linguistics analyzes human language in legal contexts, utilizing scientific linguistic knowledge to address issues within the judiciary, legal procedures, and legislation. Essentially, it's the skilled application of language analysis to matters of crime, legal processes, and evidence. Despite the recognized importance of language in law and its connection to social justice, forensic linguistics remains a relatively new, yet rapidly growing, discipline. The fundamental role of language in constructing and enforcing legal frameworks, as emphasized by scholars who describe law as a "profession of words," underscores its significance. Acts such as misrepresentation and defamation fall under forensic linguistic scrutiny, solidifying its position as a distinct and expanding field with increasing applications in diverse legal settings. Recent scholarship further elucidates its methodologies within legal domains, highlighting its growing importance. This study aims to underscore the involvement of linguistics to forensic linguistics, particularly in legal contexts, and to promote its significance.

Review of the Literature

❖ The growth of forensic linguistics

Language is fundamental to all aspects of human life, making a deeper understanding of linguistics essential across various fields, including law, where it's considered equally or even more vital by professionals like lawyers (Udina, 2017). A significant and noteworthy interdisciplinary advancement in modern language study, underscoring the inherent connection between linguistics and fields such as law (P. Tiersma, 2008). Forensic linguistics, also commonly recognised as legal linguistics or the study of language and law (McMenamin, 2002). The Oxford English Dictionary defines "forensic" as pertaining to courts of law, indicating that legal systems are expressed through language (Ali, 2020, p. 41). As a field of study, forensic linguistics has already had a substantial effect on the criminal justice system (Houtman & Suryati, 2018) by uncovering facts in legal cases through the analysis of linguistic evidence (Shuy, 1996), essentially examining the intersection of language, crime, and the legal framework (Khoyi & Behnam, 2014). The development of forensic linguistics as a relatively new yet developing part within applied linguistics arises from the significant mutual dependence between language and legal environments.

The groundwork for forensic linguistics was laid by early significant scholarship examining the intersection of language and law. Notable examples include Bryant's (1930) analysis of function words in legal texts and Wetter's (1960) research on the stylistic features of written appellate judgments (as referenced in McMenamin, 2002). A pivotal moment in the study of legal language occurred with Mellinkoff's (1963) publication of *The Language of the Law*. This influential work marked the beginning of the author's sustained advocacy, along with others, for clarity and conciseness in legal language, a focus clearly stated in the first chapter. The term "forensic linguistics" itself first appeared in print with Svartvik's (1968) publication of *The Evans Statements: A Case for Forensic Linguistics*. This book presented a detailed linguistic analysis of four police statements by Timothy Evans, who confessed to the 1949 strangulation of his wife and child (Blackwell, 2012), thus heralding the emergence of a distinct field of forensic expertise (Coulthard & Johnson, 2007).

Forensic linguistics has now achieved broad acceptance as a distinct academic and professional discipline. Its global reach has expanded, with its principles and methods being adopted in diverse legal systems and settings (Perkins, 2021). The field is widely acknowledged, and the International Association of Forensic Linguists serves as a central organization for scholars and practitioners in language and law. This association actively promotes research into the practical application, advancement, and ethical considerations of professional evidence and the demonstration of linguistic indication, alongside legal inferring and interpretation. Furthermore, the association is operational towards establishing professional standards for forensic linguistic experts. The leading academic publication in this area is *The International Journal of Speech, Language and the Law*, which was previously known as *Forensic Linguistics* (Perkins & Grant, 2013).

❖ **The scope of forensic linguistics**

The area of forensic linguistics can be theoretically positioned as a sub-branch of applied linguistics and practically as a component of forensic discipline when applied to legal settings (Jordan, 2002). It represents a linguistically focused science examining the points where language and the legal system intersect (Correa, 2013). Broadly defined as the study of "language and law" (MacLeod & Wright, 2020, p. 360), forensic linguistics involves applying linguistic theories and methods to any situation where language and law converge. This includes the practical application of linguistics to legal contexts (Kniffka, 2007), the analysis of courtroom treatise

(Tiersma, 1999), legal translation and interpreting (Berk-Seligson, 1990), the assessment of lucidity in jury instructions and legal documents (Tiersma & Curtis, 2008), the clarity of police cautions for suspects (Cotterill, 2000), the situation of linguistic sections in lawful proceedings (Eades, 1994), and the involvement of youngsters in the lawful practise (Morrisett et al., 1999), among various other fields where language and ruling interrelate (Blackwell, 2012). A more specific description considers forensic linguistics as the use of linguistic approaches to produce adeptproof, such as in cases involving doubtful revelations, brand conflicts, bullying and extortion tries, recorded banter potentially containing bribery (Shuy, 2005), suicide records (Shapero, 2011), and allegations of plagiarism or questioned authorship (Kniffka, 2000). Historically, forensic linguistics has its roots in applied linguistics.

The interconnectedness of forensic linguistics with other branches of applied linguistics, namely sociolinguistics, pragmatics, and discourse analysis, is demonstrably valuable. This synergy, particularly evident in the analysis of linguistic evidence, the study of language and law, and the examination of language within legal proceedings and courtroom interactions, significantly benefits applied linguistics. It contributes to the formulation of law, the pursuit of justice, and the safeguarding of the privileges of individuals susceptible due to their linguistic background (Correa, 2013). The domain of forensic linguistics, encompassing audio and digital evidence, has proven its worth to diverse institutions and users, including digital humanities scholars and key stakeholders like prosecutors and law enforcement. Its core function lies in the analysis and evaluation of language-based evidence in lawful settings (Surahman, 2021). Collaborative research that deepens our understanding of language and explores effective methods for working at the intersection of language and law will further augment the significance and practical application of forensic linguistics as a tool for achieving justice. This collaboration can also facilitate the development of language training resources for investigators (Perkins, 2021). However, it is crucial to acknowledge the inherent limitations within forensic linguistics; notably, linguistic evidence alone cannot guarantee a conviction or acquittal.

Forensic linguist

By examining dialectology, forensic phonetics, and speech patterns, forensic linguists can pinpoint problematic elements in spoken language. Analysis of written

communication concentrates on content, frequently in conjunction with forensic document examination or handwriting analysis. While written forms include literature, suicide notes, and document authenticity, spoken issues might include hate speech, misinformation, defamation, and concerns about the speaker's reputation. Taking into consideration the core characteristics of phonetics, semantics, pragmatics, and discourse analysis, forensic linguistics focuses on variety of legal issues.

Forensic linguists are able to give professional opinions that may be helpful in court due to knowledge of linguistic theory, such as discourse analysis, and language theories. Linguists are also very useful for investigators who have to analyze statements containing bias or ambiguity (like I do) and often share the investigators' goal of achieving communication clarity as well. Make sure, also, that while forensic linguists can make judgments based upon their analysis, they still have to make their own decisions about whether to drop the case.

Because linguists can testify in front of a court there is a great need for them as expert witnesses. A linguist must satisfy certain qualifications of expertise and prove that his or her language evidence meets scientific standards in order to be a legitimate and reliable experienced observer. The qualification can be gained through formal or informal education that requires the witness to be knowledgeable, qualified, and skilled in their discipline.

Methodology

The current study utilizes library research - a qualitative technique, that is purely dependent upon the examination of pre-existing secondary data. Information was gathered through a systematic search of scholarly databases, specifically Google Scholar, using keywords such as "forensic linguistics," "language and law," and related terms. Relevant academic books and journal articles that discuss the principles, applications, and interdisciplinary nature of forensic linguistics within legal settings formed the primary data sources. This documentary analysis involved a critical review and synthesis of the existing literature to identify key themes, methodologies, and perspectives on the significance of forensic linguistics. The findings from this analysis were then used to address the formulated research questions and objectives, providing an overview of the current state of the field.

This study made use of pre-existing secondary data because library research depends on secondary sources (Fitria et al., 2022). By searching Google Scholar with the term "forensic linguistic" and studying relevant books that go into further detail on the subject, pertinent prior research was found. The data sources examined were intended for analysis and synthesis into a library research report, ultimately aiming to provide insights into the research questions. The documentation method was employed for collecting this secondary data as described above. Forensic linguistics is an interdisciplinary field, and its analysis draws upon various linguistic sub-disciplines, including phonetics, phonology, morphology, syntax, pragmatics, discourse analysis, conversation analysis, stylistics, as well as sociology and law. The findings of this study are offered in the arrangement of a library research report.

Results

❖ Linguistics subdivisions of forensic linguistics

The field of forensic linguistics utilizes diverse areas within the study of language, encompassing phonetics, semantics, discourse analysis, pragmatics, stylistics, semiotics, and sociolinguistics. Specifically, the divisions of phonetics, semantics, discourse analysis, pragmatics, stylistics, morphology, syntax, and sociolinguistics hold significant importance and direct applicability within forensic contexts (Aziz, 2021).

Within this framework, phonetics examines the characteristics of speech sounds, focusing on a speaker's unique vocal profile. While phonetics encompasses the study of sound production (articulatory), perception (auditory), and physical properties (acoustics), auditory and acoustic phonetics are particularly valuable in forensic contexts. Each speaker possesses a distinctive vocal "tone color" that remains relatively stable after adulthood. Factors like accent and native dialect are often deeply ingrained and resistant to change, even with proficiency in other languages. Consequently, forensic phonetics plays a crucial role in speech recognition for individuals involved in legal cases. The main focus of research in this area is to analyse sound quality for the identification of acoustic speaker, analyzing voices of unidentified individuals by drawing comparison with known individuals, speaker profiling, authenticating recordings, and coding specific speeches within communicative exchanges.

❖ **Semantics**

Semantics, within linguistics, focuses on the study of meaning in words and how meaning is constructed in phrases, sentences, and entire texts, generally considered independently of the immediate context of utterance. Semantic order specifically refers to the inherent meaning of a particular word or term. In legal contexts, a linguistic expert must be capable of analyzing both the grammatical structure of sentences and the semantic content conveyed by individuals involved in legal proceedings.

In forensic linguistics, semantic analysis is particularly useful for clarifying the sense of words or terminologies that might be obscure or not immediately clear to an interpreter. This difficulty can arise from the inherent complexity or ambiguity of the language itself. However, the involvement of a forensic semanticist often stems not just from the inherent difficulty of understanding, but from the need for expert clarification and interpretation of the implication of specific words or expressions that are central to a legal inquiry, as requested by the involved parties.

Within forensic linguistics, semantics plays a crucial role in analyzing the meaning embedded in legal documents and language produced in legal settings. This includes the interpretation of the language used in legal products themselves.

Pragmatics and discourse analysis

Discourse analysis and pragmatics examine the meaning of language units within their specific contexts. In forensic linguistics, this includes analyzing the language used by police investigators or public prosecutor when questioning individuals, as well as the language of legal professionals (judges, prosecutors, legal advisors) in courtroom settings. Basic analysis in these situations employs both discourse analysis and pragmatics. For example, the questioning strategies, the way questions are phrased, and the word choices of law enforcement officials to elicit accurate and comprehensive information fall under pragmatic analysis. Furthermore, discourse analysis and pragmatics can help analyze how law enforcement personnel frame and understand the core issues of a case. This understanding is crucial for developing effective investigation procedures, formulating indictments and charges, constructing defenses, and ultimately for judicial decision-making, aiming to ensure a just outcome for all parties involved.

Pragmatics is a vital element in forensic linguistic research. As Levinson (1983) defines it, pragmatics explores the relationship between language and context to achieve meaningful communication. In legal analysis, understanding the spatial, temporal, and social dynamics among participants is essential for interpreting the context and meaning of language. This allows for suitable implications because language often carries implicit meanings beyond its literal content. Therefore, the application of language in legal settings necessitates considering pragmatic factors to ensure narratives are interpreted within their intended framework (Sulistiyo, 2020). The conscious or unconscious selection of vocabulary to describe social situations is also relevant. Analyzing language in legal settings like interrogations, trials, or the interpretation of legal statements requires applying pragmatic concepts such as maxims, locutions, illocutions, perlocutions, implicature, and context.

In forensic linguistic analysis, pragmatic analysis is integrated with discourse analysis to examine both spoken interactions, such as conversations between individuals involved in a case, investigative interviews, or trial proceedings, and written communication, including social media content that may lead to legal act.

Stylistics

Forensic language is a linguistic style that deals with the purpose and dimension of content, meaning of words, narrator recognition, or determination of authorship when detecting plagiarism. There is a stylistic aspect where speakers intend to make the listener's experience with language engaging, rich, concise, clear, and even more effective in emphasizing the idea being relayed, all while generating an atmosphere and exhibiting an artistic influence.

Forensic stylists usually use two methods to define and/or measure the similarities of two or more written works. There are further qualitative methods that emphasize an effort to pronounce the features of the writer; following the inspection of the analyzed writing through several aspects of the narrative (morphophonology components, morpho-syntax, choice of words, magnificently mentioning all features of errors that may be evident on the inscription). There is also a more quantitative approach where percentages are collected from an article to measure occurrence frequency data with each percentage indicator noted. Quantitative approaches of this type are also called stylometry. Although in fact, the two types of approaches go hand in hand and not necessarily oppositional. Meaning, an analyst

will give similarity assessment of writings after the analyst performs a study, and provides his analysis based on the author's writing characteristics, being able to find indicators which can be identified in the writing he/she analyzed.

By engaging in forensic stylistics, the investigation focuses specifically on determining - who is the author of a text, when the provenance of the text is in dispute. The aspects of language style are used to characterize an individual, or the language style that the writer is using. There is, or at times whoever it is that authored a note, writing, or any compositional piece that—regardless of length—may not be entirely aware they left an identity/mark in his or her writing. There will always be a set of variant symptoms exhibited, both in terms of morpho-syntax but also in word choices.

Semiotics

Forensics, as a scientific discipline and methodology, centers on presenting evidence to the public, particularly in matters of crime and law (Pilliang, 2013). Effectively navigating the cultural intricacies inherent in forensic work demands 'cultural capability,' encompassing 'cultural sensitivity,' 'cultural knowledge,' and 'cultural empathy.' The application of semiotics allows for the identification and interpretation of various forms of forensic evidence—be it substantial, physical, or linguistic—to decipher underlying 'logic,' 'code,' and 'cultural meaning.'

In forensic inquiries concerning tangible items like human remains, substances, tools, places, and the atmosphere, both forensic anthropology and cultural forensics can be substantially aided by "semiotic reading." This process involves the interpretation of sign systems, the connections between individual signs, and the cultural meanings or implications they carry. Numerous forensic investigations depend not solely on physical artifacts like bodies or weapons but also on intangible evidence such as spoken language, written documents, or other forms of communication. Forensic linguistics utilizes a vital role in digging up the comprehension and examination of the linguistic forms of evidence within the evidentiary landscape.

Morphological and syntactical

Morphology and syntactic theory have a particular significance to communication in the complexity of language. Morphology studies how morphemes (the small, important elements of language) come together to form words and sounds, and syntactic theory studies how the structure of words and sounds is determined. Syntactic theory describes the structure of discourse, or the foundation of grammar, which determines meaning and coherence in language by controlling the ordering of words into phrases, clauses, and sentences. Tackling morphological and syntactic patterns as detailed studies can be an excellent tool in the narrow area of forensic linguistics to make valuable contributions to the study of legal writings, to personal speaking, and to the pursuit of justice.

The grammatical structure in which language is communicated is closely tied to the morphological component of language. It is a fundamental type of structure for meaning-making, much as the lexical structure is for words in individual speech. Word creation process is studied in morphology, whereby morphology shows how people use morphemes in communication. Morphological analysis has several uses in the field of forensic linguistics. First, morphological analysis is used to examine accuracy and clarity of legal documents (for example, product laws). Forensic linguists can examine whether legal terminology can conform to grammatical norms by carefully studying the morphological processes used in its production. The primary purpose of this evaluation is to ensure that improper word choice will not lead to confusion and misunderstanding, so that legal statutes are properly interpretable and applied in an unambiguous manner.

Second, morphological analysis has an important contribution to authorship analysis in that it can be considered as one of the unique sources of human language usage. Every human having any species probably has a particular tendency to adopt certain morphemes or grammatical inflections in their writing and speaking. Although these peculiarities are often hidden, they can become the linguistic fingerprints that allow readers to recognize the author from the other. Forensic linguists can provide vital evidence in authorship attribution cases by describing a person's propensity to use specific morphemes in their own language style, which can help determine the original author of disputed writings.

The syntactical component of language, which supports morphology, is concerned with the proper placement of sentence components such as the subject, predicate, object, and modifiers. As a level of language organization, syntax offers the set of rules that control how phrases, clauses, and sentences are put together. Because it mediates the referential role of language components and their grammatical categories, this rule system is very significant (Pastika, 2019). An individual's mastery of sentence structure is reflected in the syntactic order that is inherent in their communicative output. Forensic linguists must therefore be qualified to carefully examine each sentence written by parties to legal proceedings, especially those who have previous legal competency or experience. They must consistently explain and substantiate the veracity of their syntactic analyses in court as part of their position as expert witnesses.

There are several analytical uses for the study of syntax in forensic linguistics. First, it entails assessing how well sentences are constructed in legal papers. To reduce ambiguity and possible confusion forensic linguists look at how the arrangement of words and phrases follows grammatical norms. This is the best way to guarantee that items that are legally sound are readily understood. Second, in works in dispute, syntactic analysis can help identify the authors of the works. A person's favorite sentence structures and grammatical constructions may be as distinct as their morphological patterns. In circumstances where the person is asking who is an author, these syntactic fingerprints may provide clues. Thirdly, critical discourse analysis relies heavily on syntax – particularly transitivity analysis. Transitivity, from a grammar point of view, describes how activities are expressed in sentences. It includes the participants and the circumstances of the action. Forensic linguists can discover hidden power structures, viewpoints, and ideologies in legal or evidence texts by looking at transitivity patterns. Finally, difficult statements in legal papers are simplified by the application of syntactic analysis.

With some assistance, forensic linguists can help people visualize law in general. After being formally examined, an expert witness in court has been required to provide evidence. To help the court recognize truth in the matter being presented, forensic linguist's evidence should contain facts about linguistic events. In addition, as part of forensic linguistic analysis, lexical elements in language—words and language vocabulary—carry a lot of weight. One can draw conclusions about a speaker or writer's background, educational level, and perhaps even intention from their linguistic vocabulary, which includes word choices. For that reason, in order

to effectively convey more fully the linguistic data at hand, forensic linguists must also analyze word usage—including factors such as frequency, specificity, and meaning. Morphology Morphology is an important form of language-language analysis—the study of how words and language are organized as units of information. Such analysis allows forensic linguists to better understand the functional workings of language in a given situation. By understanding word usage, forensic linguists are in a better position to contribute meaningfully to the pursuit of justice—exposing the intricate workings of language in legal contexts.

Sociological

Sociolinguistics studies how the human language is shaped and influenced by various social contexts and effects (e. g., gender, age, ethnicity, geographic location, occupation). Forensic linguistics is based largely on linguistics but it draws from sociolinguistics to analyze language use in the criminal justice system. As language use itself is inherently linked to the social characteristics of the speaker, including geographical location, ethnic identity and socioeconomic status, whether through their communication patterns and their choice of vocabulary, social dimensions are influential in the study conducted in forensic linguistics.

The sociolinguistic order analyzes the place of language concerning social interaction. Every person has their own dialects. As a witness, the professional will learn to determine the language a person engages with if their language is difficult to comprehend. Evidence of authorship is whether a person produces expressions in speech or writing. An expert witness may determine an individual's dialect of the testimony after that individual has produced speech if the testimony is spoken language. However, if the speech is produced in an authored written account, we rely on expert witnesses to examine the written material as evidence. Tools that form fragment of the investigation are handwriting, punctuation, typography, and the several features of the writing.

As indicated by Trudgill (2000) lexicons such as those that lead to specific social conditions are effective for continuing further communications. He further indicated there are two important distinctions from using language from a social standpoint. One, language has a function in establishing social affairs. Two, language can inform certain information of speakers. In a legal setting, code-switching or code-mixing that is directed by one who is in the development of being

interrogated will assist the interrogator in constructing evidences that can be applied in decision-making around legal issues and the social context of the person being interrogated. The next concept relevant to social indices in forensics linguistics is code-switching. Code-switching originated for many reasons, such as clarifying perceptions of a culture which possibly has special language (Fitria, 2020). These incidents can also take place in the speakers' second/third language as he possibly is not sufficiently proficient in the second/third language, so uses the first language to explain particular concepts (Fitria, 2021). The purpose is to demonstrate solidarity when a speaker is speaking and perhaps also belonging to a particular community, potentially an ethnic-ethnic community. In a legal context the social signals are taken together and provide values.

Discussion

❖ Language Studies in Forensic Linguistics

Language is a means of daily life. We can never be removed from the life of humans, because only language with one individual or one group can represent an idea, concept, and social behavior. One of the functions of language that has recently become very prominent is that of language in the world of law. The function of language in the world of law is quite important (Rusdiansyah, 2020). This can be observed from the number of linguists engaged to deal with cases. If in the past investigations into cases were mainly considered from a perspective of the multiple aspects related to the legal field, now the aspects light of linguistics has developed one of the features that can contribute to the examination of a case. The function of language is to incite and develop human consciousness in creating and upholding the law. In all quality legal work in the form of a product, such as legislation, judgments, complaints, statements, letters of civil action, and the tangible. There are no language skills that are in demand of professional functions that do not need the help of a language. None of the legal products listed above can emanate without language through a systemic practice. In terms of legislative formulation, the ability to use language.

Forensic linguistics is the use of linguistic theory to linguistic events in the legal process, as legal documents or legal materials, and, as the connections in the judicial process or human interactions that produce legal ramifications. The studies of forensic linguistics are focused on three areas: (1) language as legal documents; (2)

language in the judicial process; and (3) language as evidence. Language has become a vital part of the law, as can be perceived from the number of linguists that become involved to deal with an instance (Rahayu, 2021).

The need for forensic in the language domain actually requires that there are studies on linguistics, that is examining linguistic evidence, which describes the need for forensic linguistics. In addition, the freedom of information on the way people get the information needs strict monitoring on to the existing spread of discourse. In terms of prevention, critical thinking can be used by society as information filter but when it deemed it worthy of trial forensic linguistics is the route. Therefore, forensic linguistics is needed, particularly by investigators in terms of police.

Understanding forensic linguistics will significantly help with assignments the police in reacting to a number of legal cases connected to the language of data. Apart from the idea of subject-predicate, standard words-nonstandard, effective-ineffective sentences, linguistics, certainly has meaning and this meaning is related to upholding justice, uncovering cases of corruption, etc. Kusno (2021) states that language related legal conflict has increased in line with the increased use of social media in contemporary lifespan. These conflict cases relate to the linguistic battle. Humanity overall is still pervasive and even misunderstand associated speech that can be defined as language war and can be illegal. Language war is using language employed by a speaker or writer as a tool or weapon for either individual or collective purposes. Language warfare is direct or indirect assaults upon the ideas, thoughts, behaviors, honor and physical condition of a person or group of speech associates. Language battles include counseling, criticism, contempt, stimulating, disparagement, insults, and defamation.

Conclusion

In essence, forensic linguistics strategically applies language and linguistic analysis to serve the aims of the legal system. This interdisciplinary field draws upon diverse linguistic subdivisions, containing phonetics, semantics, discourse analysis, pragmatics, stylistics, morphology, syntax, and sociolinguistics, to provide crucial insights for law enforcement. Its applications are wide-ranging, encompassing the scrutiny of fraudulent documents, plagiarism detection, lie identification, and the analysis of both recorded and live conversations. Leveraging the distinct analytical strengths of each linguistic sub-discipline, forensic linguistic findings offer a

significant degree of reliability. Given the increasing prevalence of legal disputes in various forms of communication, whether spoken or written, traditional or digital, the perspectives offered by forensic linguistics are invaluable in addressing cases involving insults, defamation, threats, fraud, harassment, and other communicative offenses.

References

- Ali, J. H. (2020). Forensic linguistics: A study in criminal speech acts. Beni-Suef University International Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences, 2(1), 39–65. <https://doi.org/10.21608/buijhs.2020.91333>
- Aziz, E. A. (2021). Linguistik forensik: Sebuah sumbangsih linguistik untuk penegakan Hukum dan keadilan. Jurnal Forensik Kebahasaan, 1(1), Article 1. <https://ojs.badanbahasa.kemdikbud.go.id/jurnal/index.php/jfk/article/view/3812>
- Berk-Seligson, S. (1990). The bilingual courtroom: Court interpreters in the judicial process. University of Chicago Press.
- Blackwell, S. (2012). History of forensic linguistics. In The encyclopedia of applied linguistics. Blackwell Publishing Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781405198431.wbeal0508>
- Bryant, M. (1930). English in the law courts: The part that articles, prepositions and conjunctions play in legal decisions. Frederick Ungar.
- Correa, M. (2013). Forensic linguistics: An overview of the intersection and interaction of language and law. Studies About Languages, (23). <https://doi.org/10.5755/joi.sal.o.23.5020>
- Cotterill, J. (2000). Reading the rights: A cautionary tale of comprehension and comprehensibility. Forensic Linguistics, 7(1), 4–25. <https://doi.org/10.1558/sll.2000.7.1.4>
- Coulthard, M., & Johnson, A. (2007). An introduction to forensic linguistics: Language in evidence. Routledge.
- Fitria, T. N. (2020). An analysis of code mixing used by A Singaporean Singer in Instagram's caption. Metathesis: Journal of English Language, Literature, and Teaching, 4(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.31002/metathesis.v4i2.2250>
- Fitria, T. N. (2021). An analysis of code mixing of an Australian Youtuber Dave Jephcott in his Instagram Londo Kampung. Rainbow: Journal of Literature, Linguistics and Culture Studies, 10(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.15294/rainbow.v10i1.44701>
- Fitria, T. N., Simbolon, N. E., & Afdaleni. (2022). Possibility of metaverse in education: Opportunity and threat. SOSMANIORA: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan Humaniora, 1(3), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.55123/sosmaniora.v1i3.821>
- Houtman, H., & Suryati, S. (2018). The History of Forensic Linguistics as an Assisting Tool in the Analysis of Legal Terms. Sriwijaya Law Review, 2(2), 215–232. <https://doi.org/10.28946/slrev.Vol2.Iss2.135.pp215-233>
- Jordan, S. N. (2002). Forensic Linguistics: The linguistic analyst and expert witness of language evidence in criminal trials. Biola University
- Khoyi, A. M., & Behnam, B. (2014). Discourse of Law: Analysis of cooperative principles and speech acts in Iranian Law Courts. Asian Journal of Education and E-Learning, 02(04), 2321–2454.
- Kniffka, H. (2007). A heuristic author and writer/typist taxonomy. In Working in language and law (pp. 149–165). Palgrave Macmillan UK. https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230590045_7
- Kusno, A. (2021). Redefinisi Kosakata Terkait Perang Bahasa (Kajian Linguistik Forensik) :Diglosia: Jurnal Kajian Bahasa, Sastra, Dan Pengajarannya, 4(3), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.30872/diglosia.v4i3.183>
- Levinson, S. C. (1983). Pragmatics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- MacLeod, N., & Wright, D. (2020). Forensic linguistics. In S. Adolphs & D. Knight (Eds.), The Routledge handbook of English language and digital humanities (1st ed. p. 18). Routledge.
- McMenamin, G. R. (2002). Forensic Linguistics Advances in Forensic Stylistics. Routledge.

- Melinkoff, D. (1963). *The language of the law*. Little Brown & Co.
- Morrisett, G., Walker, D., Crary, K., & Glew, N. (1999). From system F to typed assembly language. *ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems*, 21(3), 527–568. <https://doi.org/10.1145/319301.319345>
- Pastika, I. W. (2019, October). Fakta bahasa sebagai fakta hukum kajian linguistik forensik. [Conference]. Universitas Udayana. <https://erepo.unud.ac.id/id/eprint/31546/>
- Perkins, R., & Grant, T. (2013). Forensic Linguistics. In *Encyclopedia of forensic sciences* (pp. 174–177). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-382165-2.00030-1>
- Perkins, R. C. (2021). The application of forensic linguistics in cybercrime investigations. *Policing: A Journal of Policy and Practice*, 15(1), 68–78. <https://doi.org/10.1093/police/pay097>
- Pilliang, Y. A. (2013). Forensik dalam perspektif Budaya: Sebuah tantangan bagi semiotika. *Jurnal Sosioteknologi*, 12(29), Article 29. <https://doi.org/10.5614/sostek.itbj.2013.12.29.1>
- Rahayu, T. S. (2021). Kajian linguistik forensik terhadap ujaran beberapa artis Indonesia yang menganggap virus Covid-19 Sebagai Konspirasi [Undergraduate Paper, Universitas Muhammadiyah Palembang]. <http://repository.um Palembang.ac.id/id/eprint/17083/>
- Shapiro, J. J. (2011). *The language of suicide notes*. University of Birmingham.
- Sulistiyo, A. (2020). Linguistik forensik: Aspek-aspek sosio-pragmatik dalam hokum [Paper]. Yogyakarta: Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta.
- Surahman, A. (2021). An analysis of voice spectrum characteristics to the male voices recording using praat software. *IJFL (International Journal of Forensic Linguistic)*, 2(2), 69–74.
- Shuy, R. W. (1996, 2005). *Creating language crimes*. In *Creating language crimes: How law enforcement uses (and misuses) language*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195181661.001.0001>
- Svarvik's, J. (1968). *The Evans statements: A Case for forensic linguistics*. University of Gothenburg Press.
- Tiersma, P. (1999). *Legal language*. University of Chicago Press. UK Research and Innovation. (2023). Large grants for ambitious research in the social sciences. <https://www.ukri.org/opportunity/large-grants-for-ambitious-research-in-the-social-sciences/>
- Tiersma, P., & Curtis, M. (2008). Testing the Comprehensibility of Jury Instructions: California's Old and New Instructions on Circumstantial Evidence. *Journal of Court Innovation*, 1(2), 231–261.
- Trudgill, P. (2000). *Sociolinguistics: An introduction to language and society*. London: Penguin UK.
- Udina, N. (2017). Forensic linguistics implications for legal education: Creating the e-textbook on language and law. *Procedia - Social & Behavioral Sciences*, 237, 1337–1340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2017.02.219>

Article Information:

<i>Received</i>	7-Jan-2026
<i>Revised</i>	26-Feb-2026
<i>Accepted</i>	10-Mar-2026
<i>Published</i>	30-Mar-2026

Declarations:

Authors' Contribution:

- **All Authors Conceptualization, and intellectual revisions, Data collection, interpretation, and drafting of manuscript**
- The authors agree to take responsibility for every facet of the work, making sure that any concerns about its integrity or veracity are thoroughly examined and addressed

• **Conflict of Interest:** NIL

• **Funding Sources:** NIL

Correspondence:

Waqar Ahmad

waqarahmadna@gmail.com
