

# China-Japan Territorial Disputes in the East China Sea and Their Impact on ASEAN Security

Muhammad Ahmed Mehmood<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

The territorial disputes between China and Japan over the Diaoyu/Senkaku Islands in the East China Sea have emerged as the most significant security challenges in the Asia-Pacific region. This study investigates the history of the historical, current dynamics and widespread effects of these disputes for regional security, with special focus on their influence on the organization of Southeast Asian countries (ASEAN). Through the analysis of geopolitical political theories and empirical evidence, this research has shown that China-Japan stress creates a security confusion that directly affects ASEAN's strategic autonomy, economic interests and collective security practices. The findings suggest that Asia's response is characterized by strategic hedging, diplomatic balance and efforts to maintain neutrality while protecting the interests of member countries. The study concludes that the resolution of these controversies is crucial for regional stability and continuous effectiveness of ASEAN as a multilateral organization.

**Keywords:** China-Japan relations, territorial disputes, East China Sea, ASEAN security, Diaoyu/Senkaku Islands, regional security, geopolitics

## Introduction

The East China Sea has evolved as a issue of geographical political stress, mainly around the well -established regional disputes of the Diyu/Senkaku Islands between China and Japan. These deserted, desolate rocky outcrops, about 7 square kilometers, diplomatic Fiascos, military face-offs and economic uncertainties have been brought forward from bilateral relations between Beijing and Tokyo (Hagström, 2012). Bilateral disputes have serious consequences for regional security

---

<sup>1</sup> Department of International Relations, University of Management and Technology (UMT), Lahore – Pakistan

architecture, especially affecting the political calculus of Asia and its member countries.

The importance of regional disputes extends more than the fate of geographical frames and power transitions, huge questions, history of history, resource competition and regional system in the Asia-Pacific region. China's growing economic-prospective clout and Japan U.S. With constant strategic partnership, tensions in the East China Sea have become more symbolic of the big strategic competition for this field (Fravel, 2008).

For ASEAN, these controversies present both challenges and opportunities. While the organization has traditionally maintained a policy of non-interference and neutrality in the conflict of great power, China-Japan tensions directly affect Asia's security environment, economic interests and diplomatic relief. Spillover effects of these disputes influence maritime security, trade routes, connection structures and multilateral cooperation practices that are important for the institutional structure of ASEAN (Acharya, 2014).

The purpose of this study is to provide a comprehensive analysis of how the regional disputes of China-Japan affect Asia's security in the East China Sea, how they affect both the members' countries of the organization and the direct and indirect influences on the wider regional stability.

## **Review of the Literature**

In past two decades academic literature has evolved significantly over the territorial disputes of China-Japan, which reflects the growing complexity and international rituals of this conflict. Initial scholarship is mainly focused on the historical and legal parameters of disputes (Blanchard, 2000; Pan, 2007), while more recent challenges have examined their strategic and security effects (Drifte, 2013; Manicom, 2014).

Some theoretical structures have been applied to understand these disputes. The realist perspective emphasizes competition and security ambitions (Mearsheimer, 2001), while liberal institutional approaches focus on multilateral methods (Koha and NYE, 2011) in the resolution of the role and dispute of international law.

Constructive analysis highlights the importance of historical rhythmic memory, national identity and domestic politics to shape state behaviour (Gustafsson, 2014). The impact of China-Japan tension on ASEAN has given less systematic attention to the literature. Some studies have investigated ASEAN's answers to the great power competition (GOH, 2007), while others focus on specific aspects of maritime security cooperation (Storey, 2011) or economic impacts (DAS, 2013). However, there is a widespread analysis of how regional disputes affect Asia's security architecture and strategic autonomy.

## **Theoretical Framework**

### **❖ Realism as a School of Thought**

This study employs realism as its primary theoretical framework. Reality is one of the most influential schools of thought in international relationships, which provides an attractive lens through which to examine the dynamics of state behaviour and power in the international arena (Morgenthau, 1948; Waltz, 1979).

### **❖ Core Principles of Realism**

The realism shows that the international system operates under the terms of chaos, where no supranational authority exists to control the behaviour of the state (Waltz, 1979). In this chaos, the sovereign states emerge as the main actor, run by the underlying requirement to survive and secure their national interests through each power competition (Meysheimer, 2001).

The realistic example asserts that both the military and the economic power (Morgenthau, 1948) as the primary currency of international relations. States should continuously evaluate their relevant power position to maintain their security and move their interests into the self-help system (Waltz, 1979).

### **❖ Security Dilemma Theory**

The Central to Realist analysis is the concept of security confusion, in which actions performed by one state to enhance their security, creating uncertainty and uncertainty and considered threats for other states (Herz, 1950; Jervis, 1978). This creates a permanent cycle of dynamic competitive behaviour, as states react to the threats of assuming their own abilities.

### ❖ **Application to China Japan territorial disputes**

In the context of China-Japan's regional disputes, reality provides a structure to understand drivers under the conflict (Fravel, 2008). Both China and Japan, as the main regional powers, are engaged in the basic conflict for strategic domination, regional control and accusations of valuable maritime resources in the East China Sea (Mearsheimer, 2001).

### ❖ **Implication of ASEAN Strategic Behaviour**

The realistic perspective explains how this bilateral tension creates wavy effects throughout the regional system, especially affecting ASEAN (Goh, 2007). Great powers compete for supremacy, small powers must be carefully navigated between competitive fields of influence, try to maximize their own interests while maintaining strategic autonomy through sophisticated balance strategies (Waltz, 1979).

## **Methodology**

This study uses a qualitative research method, which uses the theoretical application to understand the complex relationships between document analysis, case study exam and regional disputes of China-Japan and ASEAN security. This research draws on primary sources, including official government statements, diplomatic communications and policy documents of the concerned governments and international organizations.

Secondary sources include academic publications, think tank reports and news analysis of reputable international media outlets. The temporal scope of the study covers a period from 2010 to 2024, focusing on the occurrence of a 2010 fishing boat and the severity of subsequent developmental disputes.

Data collection includes a systematic review of diplomatic statements, security policies and multilateral agreements, including the official positions of Asia and the bilateral relations between China and Member States with Japan. Analysis uses the process tracing method to identify working methods connecting regional disputes with Asian security concerns.

## **Historical Background**

### ❖ Origins of the Disputes

Regional disputes over the Diaoyu/Senkaku Islands have a deep Historical origin at the end of the 19th century. The islands were claimed by Japan in 1895 after the first Sino-Japan war, containing Okinawa Prefecture without Chinese recognition (Suganuma, 2000). China fights for this claim, arguing that the islands are part of the Chinese region from the Ming Dynasty and Japan's alliance was illegal under international law (Shaw, 1999).

The modern phase of disputes began in the 1970s when the possibility of oil and gas reserves in the surrounding water was clear. The report of the United Nations Economic Commission for Asia and the Far East (ECAFE) of 1968 suggested significant hydrocarbon deposits in the East China Sea, transforming desolate rocks to valuable strategic assets (Valencia, 1977).

### ❖ Escalation Patterns

The disputes have experienced many escalation cycles, especially with significant stress in 2010, 2012 and 2013. The 2010 fishing boat incident took a turn, when the Chinese fishing ship collided with the Japanese Coast Guard ships near the disputed islands, which led to the arrest of the Chinese captain and a major diplomatic crisis (Mochizuki, 2013).

The Japanese government created the most serious crisis in decades from the nationalization of the three islands of 2012, with anti-Japanese opposition in China, economic sanctions and controversial water in military activities (Smith, 2013). These events show how bilateral stress can increase rapidly and affect widespread regional stability.

## Discussion

### ❖ Direct Security Implications for ASEAN

The China-Japan territorial disputes create direct security challenges for ASEAN through several mechanisms:

**Maritime Security Weaknesses:** Stress in the East China Sea increases concerns about the freedom of navigation and maritime security throughout the field. People with significant maritime regions such as ASEAN member countries, especially Indonesia, Malaysia and the Philippines, are worried that growth in the East China

Sea can determine the precursors for similar disputes in the South China Sea (Storey, 2011).

Military events between Chinese and Japanese forces in disputed water have shown the possibility of accidental growth. The announcement of the Chinese Air Defence Identification Zone (ADIZ) of November 2013, over the East China Sea, overlapping with Japan's Edenas, raising concerns about the freedom of overflight, which directly affects Asian aviation and shipping (Panda, 2013).

Intelligence and surveillance cooperation: Asian member countries must adjust their intelligence distribution and surveillance cooperation due to changing security mobility. The presence of Chinese and Japanese military wealth in the East China Sea affects the regional ISR (intelligence, surveillance and spying) capabilities and cooperation framework (Bergin, 2014).

#### ❖ **Economic Impact on ASEAN Trade and Investment**

The economic implications of China-Japan tensions significantly affect ASEAN's trade and investment patterns:

**Trade Route Disruptions:** East China Sea serves as a crucial maritime corridor for international trade, with about 40% of global maritime trade under this field (UNCTAD, 2014). Stress and potential military events create uncertainty for shipping companies and increase insurance costs for ships infecting the area.

**Supply Chain Weaknesses:** Many Asian economies are integrated into the regional supply chain associated with both China and Japan. Political stress and economic sanctions between these major economies create weaknesses for Asian manufacturers and exporters that depend on stable bilateral relations (Baldwin, 2013).

**Investment Flows:** Both China and Japan have affected foreign direct investment in ASEAN countries with bilateral tension. Japanese companies have faced challenges in China, allowing some to redirect investments towards ASEAN markets. Simultaneously, Chinese investment in Asia has increased as part of widespread strategic rebalancing (ASEAN Secretariat, 2015)

### ❖ ASEAN's Strategic Hedging Response

ASEAN's response to China-Japan tensions exemplifies sophisticated strategic hedging:

**Diplomatic Neutrality:** As emphasized on the importance of peaceful resolution by international law, Assi has maintained constant official neutrality on regional disputes. This position allows the organization to maintain relations with both parties while protecting its institutional integrity (ASEAN, 2013).

**Multilateral engagement:** ASEAN has used multilateral forums like Asian Regional Forum (ARF), East Asia Summit (EAS), and ASEAN Defence Ministers to promote dialogue and confidence measures between China and Japan (Emmers, 2013).

**Economic Division:** Individual Asian member countries have continued economic diversity strategies to reduce China or Japan. These include developing trade relations with other partners and intra-asian economic integration (DAS, 2013).

### ❖ Impact on ASEAN-US Relations

The China-Japan disputes have significant implications for ASEAN's relationship with the United States:

**Alliance Dynamics:** US-Japan connection and America's strategic axis in Asia have complicated ASEAN's strategic calculations. Member states should adjust their relationship with the United States while avoiding drawing in great power competition (Clinton, 2011).

**Security Collaboration:** In response to Chinese persistence, US-Japan security cooperation has increased the presence of American military in the region, which affects ASEAN's security environment and requires cautious diplomatic management (Green, 2014).

### ❖ Institutional Resilience and Adaptation

ASEAN's institutional response to China-Japan tensions demonstrates both resilience and adaptation:

**ASEAN Way Principles:** The organization has relied on its traditional principles of unanimous, non-interference and informal consultation to manage the spillover effects of external stress while maintaining internal harmony (Principal, 2014).

**Preventive Diplomacy:** ASEAN has developed preventive diplomacy methods to eliminate potential conflicts, including early warning systems and conflict prevention initiatives, which helps manage regional tensions (Cabalero-Anthony, 2016).

**Track II Diplomacy:** The organization has facilitated unofficial diplomatic channels and academic exchange to maintain communication between contradictory parties and to explore potential solutions to regional disputes (Johnston, 2).

#### ❖ **Challenges to ASEAN Unity**

The China-Japan disputes have also revealed challenges to ASEAN unity:

**Different national interests:** Different member countries of Asia have different strategic priorities and relations with China and Japan, making the possibility of disagreement over the regional answers of disputes (Jones and Smith, 2015).

**External Pressure:** Both China and Japan have sought to influence ASEAN's position through bilateral relations with individual member countries, potentially collective decision making (Weatherbee, 2013).

#### ❖ **Maritime Domain Awareness and Cooperation**

The disputes have accelerated maritime domain awareness initiatives within ASEAN:

**Information Sharing Mechanisms:** Asian has developed advanced information distribution methods for maritime security, including ASEAN Maritime Security Information Sharing System and Coordinating Patrol System (Rahman, 2014).

**Capacity Building:** The organization has invested in maritime security capacity building programs, supported by external partners, including Australia, India and the United States, to enhance monitoring capabilities in the fighting water supervision capabilities (Scott, 2015).

## Implications for Regional Security Architecture

The China-Japan territorial disputes have broader implications for the evolving regional security architecture in Asia-Pacific:

### ❖ **Multilateral security methods**

The importance and limitations of the existing multilateral security methods have been published in the stress. While forums like ARF and EAS provide platforms for dialogue, they have proven insufficient to prevent or resolve regional disputes. This has been asked to strengthen regional security architecture and develop new methods for conflict prevention and management (Emmers, 2013).

### ❖ **Balance of Power Dynamics**

These disputes reflect widespread changes in the regional balance of power, challenging the rise of China, challenging existing hierarchy and connections. ASEAN must explore this migration power mobility while maintaining its focus in regional security discussions (Goh, 2007).

### ❖ **Legal Frameworks and International Law**

Regional disputes have emphasized legal methods to resolve the importance and dispute of international law. ASEAN has continuously advocated for compliance with international law, including the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), as the basis for resolving maritime disputes (ASEAN, 2012).

## Future views and strategic options

- View analysis: China-Japan's regional disputes may emerge some scenes
- Escalation scenario: Military events can force parties to choose parties in the great power competition for widespread conflict, ASEAN's unity test, and great power competition.
- Status Quo Scenario: Continuous ASEAN diplomatic efforts are needed to prevent the continuous management of stress without resolution.
- Resolution Scenario: Negotiated settlement or functional arrangements that could serve as models for other regional disputes and strengthen multilateral cooperation mechanisms.

### ❖ **Strategic Options for ASEAN**

ASEAN faces several strategic options for managing the impact of China-Japan disputes:

- **Advanced preventive diplomacy:** Strengthening initial warning systems and conflict prevention ability to relieve stress before increasing stress.
- **Organizational innovation:** Developing new multilateral methods designed to eliminate regional disputes and coastal security challenges.
- **Economic integration:** More to economic integration with ASEAN and external partners to create incentives to resolve peaceful disputes.

### **Conclusion**

The territorial disputes between China and Japan in the East China Sea represent one of the most notable challenges for regional security in Asia-Pacific, with distant influences for ASEAN and its member countries. This study has shown that these disputes form multiple security challenges that move beyond the parties for conflict.

### ❖ **Key Findings**

**Direct Security Effect:** Disputes directly affect Asia's security environment through the maritime security weaknesses, military status and mobility of connection, which complicates the organization's strategic calculations.

**Economic Consequences:** Trade route disruptions, supply chain weaknesses and uncertainty of investment flows create significant economic challenges for the ASEAN member states integrating into the regional economic network.

**Strategic Hedging Success:** The strategic hedging strategy is successful in maintaining neutrality when protecting Asia's interests of member countries, showing institutional elasticity and adaptability.

**Multilateral Diplomacy:** The organization has effectively used multilateral forums and preventive diplomats to manage spillover effects and promote dialogue between contradictory parties.

**Institutional Challenges:** Controversies have announced both the power and limitations of both the traditional approach to Asia and the need for institutional innovation for conflict management.

### **Policy Implications**

For ASEAN to effectively manage the ongoing impact of China-Japan territorial disputes, several policy recommendations emerge:

- Strengthening marine domain awareness and cooperative security methods to increase regional stability
- Develop special conflict prevention capabilities focused on TER regional and maritime disputes
- Deepen economic integration within ASEAN to create elasticity against external economic pressure
- Enhance multilateral diplomacy through existing and new institutional mechanisms
- Promote legal frameworks based on international law for dispute resolution

### **Future Research Directions**

This study opens many ways to research future, including comparative analysis of how other regional organizations operate great power competition, examination of economic integration as a means of conflict and investigation of new diplomatic methods to solve regional disputes.

Regional disputes between China-Japan in the East China Sea will be a constant challenge for regional security. The continued effectiveness of ASEAN in managing these challenges depends on its ability to maintain institutional unity, change geographical political realities, and develop innovative approaches to multilateral diplomacy and conflict.

The success of this complex mobility will have a significant impact not only for regional stability, but also for the widespread development of international relations in the Asia-Pacific sector.

## References

- Acharya, A. (2014). *Constructing a security community in Southeast Asia: ASEAN and the problem of regional order* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- ASEAN. (2012). *ASEAN Declaration on the Conduct of Parties in the South China Sea*. ASEAN Secretariat.
- ASEAN. (2013). *Chairman's Statement of the 23rd ASEAN Summit*. ASEAN Secretariat.
- ASEAN Secretariat. (2015). *ASEAN Investment Report 2015: Infrastructure Investment and Connectivity*. Jakarta: ASEAN Secretariat.
- Baldwin, R. (2013). Trade and industrialization after globalization's second unbundling: How building and joining a supply chain are different and why it matters. In R. C. Feenstra & A. M. Taylor (Eds.), *Globalization in an age of crisis* (pp. 165-212). University of Chicago Press.
- Bergin, A. (2014). Maritime domain awareness in the Asia-Pacific: Concepts, issues and possible ways ahead. In *Maritime Security Challenges in Southeast Asia* (pp. 45-67). Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.
- Blanchard, J. M. (2000). The U.S. role in the Sino-Japanese dispute over the Diaoyu (Senkaku) Islands, 1945-1971. *The China Quarterly*, 161, 95-123.
- Buzan, B., & Wæver, O. (2003). *Regions and powers: The structure of international security*. Cambridge University Press.
- Caballero-Anthony, M. (2016). *An introduction to non-traditional security studies: A transnational approach*. SAGE Publications.
- Clinton, H. (2011). America's Pacific Century. *Foreign Policy*, 189, 56-63.
- Das, S. B. (2013). *ASEAN-China economic relations: An evolution in progress*. Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.
- Drifte, R. (2013). The Senkaku/Diaoyu Islands territorial dispute between China and Japan: Between the materialization of the 'China threat' and Japan's 'right-wing militarization'. *UNISCI Discussion Papers*, 32, 9-62.
- Emmers, R. (2013). *Geopolitics and maritime territorial disputes in East Asia*. Routledge.
- Fravel, M. T. (2008). *Strong borders, secure nation: Cooperation and conflict in China's territorial disputes*. Princeton University Press.
- Goh, E. (2005). Nixon doctrine and Southeast Asia: Not a big stick, but speak softly. In *Meeting the China challenge: The U.S. in Southeast Asian regional security strategies* (pp. 58-85). Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.
- Goh, E. (2007). Great powers and hierarchical order in Southeast Asia: Analyzing regional security strategies. *International Security*, 32(3), 113-157.
- Green, M. J. (2014). The U.S.-Japan alliance and the rise of China. In *Strategic Asia 2014-15: U.S. alliances and partnerships at the center of global power* (pp. 123-156). National Bureau of Asian Research.
- Gustafsson, K. (2014). Memory politics and ontological security in Sino-Japanese relations. *Asian Studies Review*, 38(1), 71-86.
- Hagström, L. (2012). 'Power shift' in East Asia? A critical reappraisal of narratives on the Diaoyu/Senkaku Islands incident in 2010. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*, 5(3), 267-297.
- Herz, J. H. (1950). Idealist internationalism and the security dilemma. *World Politics*, 2(2), 157-180.
- Jervis, R. (1978). Cooperation under the security dilemma. *World Politics*, 30(2), 167-214.

- Johnston, A. I. (2012). What (if anything) does East Asia tell us about international relations theory? *Annual Review of Political Science*, 15, 53-78.
- Jones, L., & Smith, M. (2015). ASEAN's challenge: Conflicting directives in the South China Sea. *International Affairs*, 91(6), 1345-1368.
- Keohane, R. O., & Nye, J. S. (2011). *Power and interdependence* (4th ed.). Longman.
- Manicom, J. (2014). *Bridging troubled waters: China, Japan, and maritime order in the East China Sea*. Georgetown University Press.
- Mearsheimer, J. J. (2001). *The tragedy of great power politics*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- Mochizuki, M. M. (2013). The strategic triangle and the crisis in the East China Sea. *The Korean Journal of Defense Analysis*, 25(2), 169-185.
- Pan, Z. (2007). Sino-Japanese dispute over the Diaoyu/Senkaku Islands: The pending controversy from the Chinese perspective. *Journal of Chinese Political Science*, 12(1), 71-92.
- Panda, A. (2013). China's ADIZ over the East China Sea: Implications for regional security. *The Diplomat*. Retrieved from <https://thediplomat.com/2013/11/chinas-adiz-over-the-east-china-sea-implications-for-regional-security/>
- Rahman, C. (2014). Addressing the capability-expectations gap in ASEAN maritime security cooperation. *Contemporary Southeast Asia*, 36(2), 197-226.
- Scott, D. (2015). Maritime security cooperation in the South China Sea and the role of the ASEAN Regional Forum. In *ASEAN and the South China Sea* (pp. 78-102). Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.
- Shaw, H. (1999). The Diaoyutai/Senkaku Islands dispute: Its history and an analysis of the ownership claims of the P.R.C., R.O.C., and Japan. *Occasional Papers/Reprints Series in Contemporary Asian Studies*, 3, 1-152.
- Smith, S. A. (2013). *Intimate rivals: Japanese domestic politics and a rising China*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Storey, I. (2011). *Southeast Asia and the rise of China: The search for security*. Routledge.
- Suganuma, U. (2000). *Sovereign rights and territorial space in Sino-Japanese relations: Irredentism and the Diaoyu/Senkaku Islands*. Association for Asian Studies and University of Hawaii Press.
- UNCTAD. (2014). *Review of Maritime Transport 2014*. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.
- Valencia, M. J. (1977). The China Sea: The struggle for resources and jurisdiction. *International Studies*, 21(1), 45-78.
- Weatherbee, D. E. (2013). *Indonesia in ASEAN: Vision and reality*. Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.

Article Information:

<i>Received</i>	5-Apr-2025
<i>Revised</i>	29-May-2025
<i>Accepted</i>	11-Jun-2025
<i>Published</i>	15-Jun-2025

---

Declarations:

Author's Contribution:

- **Conceptualization, and intellectual revisions**
- **Data collection, interpretation, and drafting of manuscript**
- The author agrees to take responsibility for every facet of the work, making sure that any concerns about its integrity or veracity are thoroughly examined and addressed

• **Conflict of Interest:** NIL

• **Funding Sources:** NIL

Correspondence:

Muhammad Ahmed Mehmood

mehmood.ahmed@gmail.com

---